In re Appln. of Thomas D. Stahl.
Serial No.: 10/693,329
Atty Docket No.: 46675-0005
Response To Office Action Dated May 12, 2005

REMARKS

The present document is in response to the Office Action mailed May 12, 2005, in which the Examiner required election between Invention I (claims 1-4, 6-8, 10, 12-13 and 17, drawn to a light collection system, classified in class 362, subclass 241) and Invention II (claims 18-19, 21-27 and 31, drawn to an image projector, classified in class 353, subclass 94). Applicant elects to prosecute claims 1-4, 6-8, 10, 12-13 and 17 without traverse. Applicants reserve the right to submit a divisional application directed to the non-elected claims at a later time. In view of this election and these remarks, it is respectfully submitted that an action on the merits of the elected claims is in order.

Favorable consideration and allowance of this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC
Attorneys for Applicants

Date: June 10, 2005

By: _____
Michael A. Schaldenbrand
Reg. No. 47,923

Dickinson Wright PLLC
1901 L Street, NW
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036
(248) 433-7570

MAS/

BLOOMFIELD 46675-5 697953

PAGE 5/5 * RCVD AT 6/10/2005 2:07:27 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/6 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:248 433 7274 * DURATION (mm-ss):02-16 PAGE .05 **